



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116
Expedited Procedure
Examining Group A.U. 1713
1059 O.G. 19

Please Enter
Le.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Marek Minarik et al.

PATENT APPLICATION

Serial No.: 09/882,523

Group Art Unit: 1713

Filed: June 15, 2001

Examiner: L. S. Choi

For: ELECTROPHORESIS SEPARATION

MEDIA AND METHODS

Amendment After Final Rejection

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office action mailed September 9, 2004 the applicants respectfully request reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

Section 102

As with the previous Office action the sole issue is whether the applicants' claims are anticipated by any one of the three cited references. A review of the law and the references warrant review of this rejection.

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found in a single cited art reference. See *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). The identical invention must be shown in as complete